

## **Section 55** Acceptance of Applications Checklist

Appendix 3 of Advice Note Six: Preparation and submission of application documents

Version: August 2022

## Lower Thames Crossing - Section 55 Acceptance of Applications Checklist

Section 55 of the Planning Act 2008 can be viewed at legislation.gov.uk, here: http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2008/29/section/55

**DISCLAIMER**: This Checklist a non-statutory checklist for the Planning Inspectorate to complete. Completion or self-assessment by the Applicant does not hold weight at the Acceptance stage. Unless specified, all references to the Planning Inspectorate are made in relation to functions being carried out on behalf of the Secretary of State for Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities.

Sec	Section 55(2) Acceptance of Applications			
1	the Planning Inspectorate must decide	Date received	28-day due date	Date of decision
		31 October 2022	28 November 2022	28 November 2022
ma	ction 55(3) – the Planning Inspectorate y only accept an application if it icludes that:	Planning Inspectora	ate comments	
Sec	Section 55(3)(a) and s55(3)(c): It is an application for an order granting development consent			
2	Is the development a Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project <sup>1</sup> (NSIP) (or does it form part of an NSIP); and does the application state on the face of it that it is an application for a Development Consent Order <sup>2</sup> (DCO) under the Planning Act 2008 (the PA2008), or equivalent words? Does the application specify the development to	Yes The Proposed Development set out in Schedule 1 of the Draft DCO (Doc 3.1) includes development falling within the categories in s14 of the PA2008. The principal development is for the construction of a highway, which satisfies section 22 of the PA2008, including subsections (1), (2) and (4). This is consistent with the summary provided in Section 4 of the Application Form (Doc 1.2).		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> NSIP is defined generally in s14 with the detailed thresholds for each of the specified categories being set out in ss15 to 30

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Development consent is required for development to the extent that the development is or forms part of an NSIP (s31 of the PA2008)

	which it relates (i.e. which category or categories in Sections (s)14 to 30 does the Proposed Development fall)?	
3	Summary: Section 55(3)(a) and s55(3)(c)	The Planning Inspectorate is satisfied that the <b>Draft DCO</b> ( <b>Doc 3.1</b> ) includes development for which development consent is required.
	ction 55(3)(e): The Applicant in relation to cedure)	the application made has complied with Chapter 2 of Part 5 (pre-application
4	In accordance with the EIA Regulations <sup>3</sup> , did the Applicant (prior to carrying out consultation in accordance with s42) either (a) request the Planning Inspectorate adopt a Screening Opinion in respect of the development to which the application relates, or (b) notify the Planning Inspectorate in writing that it proposed to provide an Environmental Statement in respect of that development?	Yes On 31 October 2017 the Applicant notified the Planning Inspectorate in accordance with Regulation 8(1)(b) of The Infrastructure Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2017 of its intention to provide an Environmental Statement (ES) in respect of the Proposed Development. The notification was received before the start of statutory consultation on 10 October 2018. A copy of the notification letter is provided at <b>Appendix C</b> of the <b>Consultation Report</b> ( <b>Doc 5.1</b> ).
5	Have any Adequacy of Consultation Representations <sup>4</sup> been received from 'A', 'B', 'C' and 'D' local authorities; and if so, do they confirm that the Applicant has complied with the duties under s42, s47 and s48?	Yes There are 30 host and neighbouring authorities, of which 19 responded to the Planning Inspectorate's invitation to make an Adequacy of Consultation Representation (AoCR) dated 01 November 2022. Eighteen responding authorities confirmed in their AoCR that either they considered that

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Regulation 8 of The Infrastructure Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2017 (2017 EIA Regulations), or where Regulation 37 of the 2017 EIA Regulations applies, Regulation 6 of The Infrastructure Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2009 (2009 EIA Regulations)
 <sup>4</sup> Section 55(4) of the PA2008 provides that the Planning Inspectorate must have regard to the Consultation Report, and any Adequacy of Consultation Representations

received

the Applicant had complied with its duties under s42, s47 and s48 of the PA2008 and/ or that their authority had no comments or objections to make. These local authorities were:
Ashford District Council ('A' authority) <sup>1</sup>
Basildon Council ('A' authority)
Chelmsford District Council ('A' authority)
Dartford Borough Council ('A' authority)
Medway Council ('A' authority) <sup>1</sup>
Sevenoaks District Council ('A' authority)
London Borough of Redbridge ('A' authority)
Brentwood Borough Council ('B' authority)
Gravesham Borough Council ('B' authority) <sup>1</sup>
London Borough of Havering ('B' authority) <sup>1</sup>
Maidstone Borough Council ('B' authority)
• Tonbridge and Malling Borough Council ('B' authority) <sup>1</sup>
• Essex County Council ('C' authority') <sup>1</sup>
Kent County Council ('C' authority) <sup>1</sup>
Hertfordshire County Council ('D' authority)
Southend on Sea City Council ('D' authority)
Suffolk County Council ('D' authority)
Surrey County Council ('D' authority)
<sup>1</sup> These authorities made additional comments within their AoCR.
One responding authority confirmed in their AoCR that they did not consider that the

Applicant had fully complied with its statutory pre-application duties under part 5, chapter 2 of the PA2008. This local Authority was:
Thurrock Council ('B authority')
The Inspectorate has carefully considered the issues raised in Thurrock's AoCR, as part of its full review of the Consultation Report, and makes the following comments:
<ul> <li>The Inspectorate considers that there is evidence in the Consultation Report that regard was had by the Applicant to comments received at each stage of consultation. Additionally, that there is evidence that changes were made to the scheme in response to consultation responses, as well as explanations as to when changes were not made.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>The Inspectorate considers that the Applicant's approach to consultation was proportionate, appropriate, and compliant.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Whilst changes have been made to the scheme following consultation responses, the Inspectorate does not consider that the scheme is substantively different to that which was subject to statutory consultation in 2018.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>The Inspectorate considers that the breadth and depth of information made available was sufficient that there was a reasonable prospect that the scheme was able to be understood by the wide variety of consultees, as would be appropriate.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Whilst the Inspectorate understands the inclusion of comments relating to the 2020 submission, for the purpose of clarity, the Acceptance process is only concerned with the application currently before the Inspectorate.</li> </ul>
All AoCRs received have been carefully considered and are available to view on the National Infrastructure Planning website: <u>https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/projects/south-east/lower-thames-crossing/?ipcsection=docs&amp;stage=2&amp;filter1=Adeguacy+of+Consultation+Representation</u>
Additionally, the Planning Inspectorate received submissions on the Applicant's consultations from members of the public. These have been published here:

		https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/document/TR010032-001816 The Inspectorate has considered all submitted representations. In relation to concerns bearing directly on adequacy of consultation, the Inspectorate is satisfied that the Applicant has complied with its statutory obligations. To the extent that other matters have been raised in responses, the Inspectorate is satisfied that these are either matters that do not bear on an Acceptance decision or are capable of being Examination matters and therefore do not affect the conclusion that the Applicant has complied with its statutory obligations.
Sec	tion 42: Duty to consult	
Did	the Applicant consult the applicable person	s set out in s42 of the PA2008 about the proposed application?
6	Section 42(1)(a) persons prescribed <sup>5</sup> ?	Yes
		The Applicant has provided a list of persons consulted under s42(1)(a) on 04 October 2018 at <b>Table H.1</b> of <b>Appendix H</b> of the <b>Consultation Report</b> ( <b>Doc 5.1</b> ).
		A sample of the letter sent to s42(1)(a) consultees is provided at <b>Plate K.1</b> of <b>Appendix K</b> of the <b>Consultation Report</b> ( <b>Doc 5.1</b> ).
		<b>Table 8.4</b> of the <b>Consultation Report (Doc 5.1, Part 1)</b> identifies a number of parties that were identified by the Planning Inspectorate, based on a precautionary interpretation of The Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009 (the APFP Regulations), in 2020 and recommended to the Applicant to consult. The Applicant has since consulted these parties.
		<b>Paragraph 8.8.8</b> of the <b>Consultation Report (Doc 5.1, Part 1)</b> lists a number of additional parties that the Applicant subsequently identified and consulted.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Statutory consultees set out in Schedule 1 of The Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009 (APFP Regulations)

7	Section 42(1)(aa) the Marine Management Organisation <sup>6</sup> ?	Yes
8	Section 42(1)(b) each local authority within s43 <sup>7</sup> ?	Yes Table H.3 in Appendix H of the Consultation Report (Doc 5.1) lists the relevant local authorities that were identified and consulted under s43 and s42(1)(b) on 04 October 2018 and 11 May 2022. The host 'B' authorities were consulted: • Brentwood Borough Council • Gravesham Borough Council • London Borough of Havering • Maidstone Borough Council <sup>1</sup> • Thurrock Council • Tonbridge and Malling Borough Council <sup>1</sup> The host 'C' authorities were consulted: • Essex County Council • Kent County Council The boundary 'A' authorities were consulted: • Ashford Borough Council <sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> In any case where the Proposed Development would affect, or would be likely to affect, any of the areas specified in s42(2) of the PA2008

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Definition of 'local authority' in s43(3) of the PA2008: The 'B' authority where the application land is in the authority's area; the 'A' authority where any part of the boundary of A's area is also a part of the boundary of B's area; the 'C' authority (upper tier) where the application land is in that authority's area; the 'D' authority (upper tier) where such an authority shares a boundary with a 'C' authority

Basildon Council
Castle Point Borough Council
Chelmsford City Council
Dartford Borough Council <sup>3</sup>
Epping Forest District Council
London Borough of Barking and Dagenham
London Borough of Bexley
London Borough of Redbridge
Medway Council
Sevenoaks District Council
Swale Borough Council
Tunbridge Wells Borough Council <sup>2</sup>
The boundary 'D' authorities were consulted:
Cambridgeshire County Council
East Sussex County Council
Hertfordshire County Council
London Borough of Bromley
London Borough of Enfield
London Borough of Waltham Forest
Southend-on-Sea City Council
Suffolk County Council
Surrey County Council
A sample of the letter sent to s42(1)(b) relevant authorities is provided at <b>Appendix K</b> of

		the Consultation Report (Doc 5.1).
		Notes: <sup>1</sup> These authorities were 'A' authorities in 2018 but are now 'B' authorities. <sup>2</sup> These authorities became 'A' authorities when Maidstone BC and Tonbridge and Malling BC changed from 'A' to 'B' authorities. <sup>3</sup> This authority was a 'B' authority in 2018 but is now an 'A' authority.
9	Section 42(1)(c) the Greater London Authority (if in Greater London area)?	Yes
10	Section 42(1)(d) each person in one or more of s44 categories <sup>8</sup> ?	<ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>Paragraph 5.2.2 of the Consultation Report (Doc 5.1, Part 1) states that all persons identified under s42(1)(d) were consulted on 09 October 2018.</li> <li>A number of additional persons were identified after the start of Statutory Consultation and were consulted, with a minimum of 28 days, on dates between 15 October 2018 and 21 November 2018.</li> <li>Additional persons were also identified and consulted on dates including 15 August 2019, 27 January 2020, 13 July 2020, 13 July 2021, 11 May 2022 and 18 August 2022. Some persons were consulted on other dates, as they were identified after the start of Supplementary Consultation, and were given at least 28 days to respond.</li> <li>Table J.12 of Appendix J of the Consultation Report (Doc 5.1) lists 58 persons both known and unknown that were identified following the final round of non-statutory consultation and were therefore not consulted. They were contacted in October 2022 and have been invited to engage in the next stage of the planning process.</li> </ul>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Category 1: owner, lessee, tenant or occupier of land; Category 2: person interested in the land or has power to sell and convey the land or to release the land; Category 3: persons who would or might be entitled to make a relevant claim.

600	ction 45: Timetable for s42 consultation	<ul> <li>Paragraphs 4.3.19 to 4.3.46 of the Consultation Report (Doc 5.1, Part 1) summarise how the Applicant made diligent inquiry to seek to identify and consult persons with an interest in lands affected by the Draft DCO (Doc 3.1). The full methodology undertaken by the Applicant is provided in Statement of Reasons Annex C – Land Referencing Methodology (Doc 4.1).</li> <li>The persons consulted under s42(1)(d) are listed at Appendices J of the Consultation Report (Doc 5.1).</li> <li>A sample of the letter is provided at Appendix K of the Consultation Report (Doc 5.1).</li> </ul>
11	Did the Applicant notify s42 consultees of the deadline for receipt of consultation responses; and if so was the deadline notified by the Applicant 28 days or more starting with the day after receipt of the consultation documents?	Yes A sample of the letter sent to s42 consultees is provided at <b>Appendix K</b> of the <b>Consultation Report (Doc 5.1)</b> . The sample letter dated 04 October 2018 confirmed that consultation commenced on 10 October 2018 and closed on 20 December 2018, providing more than the required minimum time for receipt of responses.
Sec	ction 46: Duty to notify the Planning Insp	ectorate of proposed application
12	Did the Applicant supply information to notify the Planning Inspectorate of the proposed application; and if so, was the information supplied to the Planning Inspectorate on or before the date it was sent to the s42 consultees? Was this done on or before commencing consultation under s42?	Yes The Applicant gave notice under s46 on 09 October 2018, which was before the beginning of the s42 consultation. A copy of the s46 notification letter and a copy of s46 notification acknowledgement letter from the Planning Inspectorate are provided at <b>Appendix L</b> of the <b>Consultation Report</b> (Doc 5.1).

Section 47: Duty to consult local community		
13	Did the Applicant prepare a Statement of Community Consultation (SoCC) on how it intended to consult people living in the vicinity of the land?	Yes A copy of the final SoCC is provided at <b>Appendix G</b> of the <b>Consultation Report</b> ( <b>Doc 5.1</b> ).
14	Were 'B' and (where relevant) 'C' authorities consulted about the content of the SoCC; and if so, was the deadline for receipt of responses 28 days beginning with the day after the day that 'B' and, where applicable, 'C' authorities received the consultation documents?	Yes         The Applicant sent the draft SoCC to 42 local authorities on 01 August 2018, including:         Brentwood Borough Council ('B' authority)         Dartford Borough Council ('B' authority) 1         Gravesham Borough Council ('B' authority)         London Borough of Havering ('B' authority)         Maidstone Borough Council ('B' authority)         Maidstone Borough Council ('B' authority)         Maidstone Borough Council ('B' authority) 2         Thurrock Council ('B' authority)         Tonbridge and Malling Borough Council ('B' authority) 2         Essex County Council ('C' authority)         Kent County Council ('C' authority)         The Applicant set a deadline of 02 September 2018 for responses; providing more than the required minimum time for responses to be received.         Notes:         1 This authority is no longer a 'B' authority         2 These authorities were not 'B' authorities at the time but were consulted on the SoCC.
15	Has the Applicant had regard to any responses received when preparing the	Yes

	SoCC?	Appendix F of the Consultation Report (Doc 5.1) provides a summary of the consultation responses from Ashford District Council, Cambridgeshire County Council, Castle Point Borough Council, Chelmsford City Council, Dartford Borough Council, Dover District Council, Essex County Council, Gravesham Borough Council, Greater London Authority, Kent County Council, London Borough of Bexley, London Borough of Havering, Maidstone Borough Council, Maldon District Council, Medway Council, Thurrock Council, in respect of the draft SoCC and demonstrates how the Applicant had regard to their content.
		Examples of changes from the draft SoCC to the final SoCC include:
		<ul> <li>Change of an event location from Orsett Hall to Tilbury Community Association; suggested due to a lack of public transport to Orsett Hall.</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Extension of leaflet distribution area over much larger areas of Essex and Kent and increased to around 270,000 addresses.</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Information added to the SoCC about how harder to reach audiences would be engaged with and how equalities would be considered.</li> </ul>
		The Planning Inspectorate is satisfied that the Applicant had regard to the responses received when preparing the SoCC.
16	Has the SoCC been made available for	Yes
	inspection on a website maintained by or on behalf of the Applicant; and has a notice been published in a newspaper circulating in the vicinity of the land which states where and when the SoCC can be inspected?	The final SoCC was made available at the following locations, which were reasonably convenient having regard to the location of the Proposed Development:
		<ul> <li>Brentwood Library, New Road, Brentwood, CM14 4BP</li> </ul>
		Grays Library, Thameside Complex, Orsett Road, Grays, RM17 5DX
		Romford Central Library, St Edwards Way, Romford, RM1 3AR
		Tilbury Hub, Civic Square, Tilbury, RM18 8AD
		Dartford Library, Central Park, Market Street, Dartford, DA1 1EU

		<ul> <li>Gravesend Library, Windmill Street, Gravesend, DA12 1BE</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Maidstone Library, Kent History and Library Centre, James Whatman Way, Maidstone, ME14 1LQ</li> </ul>
		Rochester Library, Rochester Community Hub, Eastgate, Rochester, M1 1EW
		A notice stating when and where the final SoCC could be inspected was published in:
		The Times 10 October 2018
		Essex Chronicle 04 October 2018
		Kent Messenger 04 October 2018
		Thurrock Gazette 04 October 2018
		Yellow Advertiser 12 October 2018
		Fishing News 11 October 2018
		The published SoCC notice, provided at <b>Appendix N</b> of the <b>Consultation Report</b> ( <b>Doc 5.1</b> ) states where and when the final SoCC was available to inspect.
		Clippings of the published advertisements are provided at <b>Appendix N</b> of the <b>Consultation Report (Doc 5.1)</b> .
17	Does the SoCC set out whether the	Yes
	development is EIA development <sup>9</sup> ; and does it set out how the Applicant intends to publicise and consult on the Preliminary Environmental Information?	<b>Paragraphs 15</b> and <b>16</b> of the final SoCC at <b>Appendix G</b> of the <b>Consultation Report</b> ( <b>Doc 5.1</b> ) sets out that the development is EIA development and sets out how the Applicant intended to publicise and consult on the Preliminary Environmental Information.
18	Has the Applicant carried out the consultation in accordance with the	Yes

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Regulation 12 of the 2017 EIA Regulations, or where Regulation 37 of the 2017 EIA Regulations applies, Regulation 10 of the 2009 EIA Regulations

	SoCC?	<ul> <li>Paragraphs 4.5.1 to 4.5.58 of the Consultation Report (Doc 5.1, Part 1) set out how the community consultation was carried out in line with the final SoCC.</li> <li>Table G.1 of Appendix G to the Consultation Report (Doc 5.1) sets out how the Applicant has complied with the commitments set out in the final SoCC.</li> <li>Appendices K, M and N of the Consultation Report (Doc 5.1) provide evidence that the commitments within the final SoCC have been carried out.</li> <li>Although the Planning Inspectorate is satisfied that the Applicant has carried out the consultation in line with the final SoCC, the following observations have been noted with regards to certain commitments:</li> <li>Some consultees reported problems downloading large documents from the website on launch day. These issues were identified on the first day of the consultation and addressed by breaking the affected documents into smaller files to make downloading easier.</li> <li>The venue managers of Lakeside requested cancellation of the visit of the Mobile information centre scheduled for 16 November 2018 due to operational difficulties. An additional awareness event inside the shopping centre was rescheduled for 06 December 2018 and publicised.</li> <li>One information point was set up incorrectly due to a courier error, with material intended for Blackshots Library being delivered to the Civic Hall next door. As soon as the error was identified, additional consultation publicity materials were sent to Blackshots Library.</li> </ul>
Se	tion 48: Duty to publicise the proposed a	application
19	Did the Applicant publicise the proposed application in the prescribed manner set out in Regulation 4(2) of The Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure)	Yes Paragraph 4.6.4 of the Consultation Report (Doc 5.1, Part 1) states: "Section 48(1) of the Planning Act 2008 provides that a notice publicising a proposed development must be published in the prescribed manner, namely in accordance with Regulation 4(2) and with reference to Regulation 4(3) of the APFP

	Regulations 2009?	Regulations."         Table 4.15 of the Consultation Report (Doc 5.1, Part 1) displays the newspapers and dates of s48 publicity as set out below.						
		A copy of the s48 notice is provided at <b>Apper</b>	ndix N of the Consultation Report (Doc 5.1).					
		Clippings of the published notices set out below are provided at <b>Append</b> <b>Consultation Report (Doc 5.1)</b> :						
		Newspaper(s)	Date					
a)	for at least two successive weeks in one or more local newspapers circulating in the vicinity in which the Proposed Development would be situated;	<ul><li>Essex Chronicle</li><li>Kent Messenger</li><li>Thurrock Gazette</li></ul>	04 October 2018 and 11 October 2018					
		Yellow Advertiser	12 October 2018 and 19 October 2018					
b)	once in a national newspaper;	The Times	10 October 2018					
c)	once in the London Gazette and, if land in Scotland is affected, the Edinburgh Gazette; and	London Gazette	10 October 2018					
d)	where the proposed application relates to offshore development –	Lloyd's List	04 October 2018 and 11 October 2018					
	<ul> <li>(i) once in Lloyds List; and</li> <li>(ii) once in an appropriate fishing trade journal?</li> </ul>	Fishing News	10 October 2018					
20	Did the s48 notice include the required information set out in Regulation 4(3) of	Yes						

	The Infrastructure Planning (Applica Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009?				ultation Report (Doc	
	Information	Paragr	raph		Information	Paragraph
a)	the name and address of the Applicant	1	b	))	a statement that the Applicant intends to make an application for development consent to the Secretary of State	1
c)	a statement as to whether the application is EIA development	3	d	1)	a summary of the main proposals, specifying the location or route of the Proposed Development	1
e)	a statement that the documents, plans and maps showing the nature and location of the Proposed Development are available for inspection free of charge at the places (including at least one address in the vicinity of the Proposed Development) and times set out in the notice	4 to 6	f)	)	the latest date on which those documents, plans and maps will be available for inspection	4
g)	whether a charge will be made for copies of any of the documents, plans or maps and the amount of any charge	6	h	ו)	details of how to respond to the publicity	7
i)	a deadline for receipt of those responses by the Applicant, being not less than 28 days following the date when the notice is last published	4 and 7	7			

21	Are there any observations in respect of th	e s48 notice provided above?
	Νο	
22	Has a copy of the s48 notice been sent to the EIA consultation bodies and to any person notified to the Applicant in accordance with the EIA Regulations <sup>10</sup> ?	Yes A copy of the s48 notice was sent to the EIA consultation bodies as part of the s42 consultation, as confirmed in <b>paragraph 4.6.5</b> of the <b>Consultation Report</b> ( <b>Doc 5.1</b> , <b>Part 1</b> ). A sample of the s42 consultation letter provided at <b>Appendix S</b> of the <b>Consultation</b> <b>Report</b> ( <b>Doc 5.1</b> ) confirms a copy of the s48 notice was enclosed.
s49	: Duty to take account of responses to c	onsultation and publicity
23	Has the Applicant had regard to any relevant responses to the s42, s47 and s48 consultation?	Yes Chapter 11 of the Consultation Report (Doc 5.1, Part 1) sets out how the Applicant had regard to the consultation responses received; including whether or not responses led to changes to the application. The actions informed by the consultation responses appear to be reflected in the final form of the application as submitted. Where a particular response has not led to a change in the application, it is sufficiently clear that regard was had to it.
Gui	dance about pre-application procedure	
24	To what extent has the Applicant had regard to statutory guidance 'Planning	<b>Paragraph 2.4.2</b> of the <b>Consultation Report</b> ( <b>Doc 5.1, Part 1</b> ) states that the Applicant has taken into consideration all relevant statutory and other guidance.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Regulation 13 of the 2017 EIA Regulations, or where Regulation 37 of the 2017 EIA Regulations applies, Regulation 11 of the 2009 EIA Regulations

	Act 2008: Guidance on the pre- application process' <sup>11</sup> ?	<ul> <li>Having reviewed the application, the Planning Inspectorate is satisfied that the Applicant has identified and had regard to the relevant statutory guidance.</li> <li>To assist the Inspectorate in reaching this conclusion all responses to the Applicant's consultation under Part 5 of the PA2008 were requested under Regulation 5(5) of the APFP Regulations. The Inspectorate's request for these responses was made on 03 November 2022 and the Applicant provided the responses on 04 November 2022.</li> </ul>
25	Summary: Section 55(3)(e)	The Applicant has complied with Chapter 2 of Part 5 (pre-application procedure) of the PA2008.
to v		ding accompaniments) achieves a satisfactory standard having regard to the extent n and contents of application) and with any standards set under section 37(5) and ion 37(4)
26	Is it made in the prescribed form as set out in Schedule 2 of the APFP	Yes
	<ul> <li>a brief statement which explains why it falls within the remit of the Planning Inspectorate; and</li> <li>a brief statement that clearly identifies the location of the application site, or the route if it is a linear scheme?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Section 4 of the Application Form (Doc 1.2) explains why the development falls within the remit of the Planning Inspectorate.</li> <li>Section 5 of the Application Form (Doc 1.2) provides a brief non-technical description of the site and Section 6 provides the location of the Proposed Development.</li> <li>A Location Plan (Doc 2.1) has been provided.</li> </ul>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> The Planning Inspectorate must have regard to the extent to which the Applicant has had regard to guidance issued under s50

			Appendices	3.		
28	8 Where a plan comprises three or more separate sheets, has a key plan been provided showing the relationship between the different sheets? <sup>12</sup>					
29	Is it accompanied by information set out in 5(2)?				and information required b locations within the applica	y APFP Regulation 5(2) are set out in the ation as listed below:
	Information	Document		I	nformation	Document
a)	Where applicable, the Environmental Statement required under the EIA Regulations <sup>13</sup> and any scoping or screening opinions or directions	Non – Technical St (Doc 6.4) Environmental Sta Chapters 1 – 17 (D Environmental Sta Figures (Doc 6.2) Environmental Sta Appendices (Doc 6 Appendix 4.1 – The Inspectorate's Sco Opinion and Nation Highway's Respon 6.3)	tement, oc 6.1) tement tement 5.3) e ping nal	b)	The draft Development Consent Order (DCO)	Draft Development Consent Order (Doc 3.1)

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Regulation 5(4) of The Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009
 <sup>13</sup> The 2017 EIA Regulations, or where Regulation 37 of the 2017 EIA Regulations applies, the 2009 EIA Regulations

	ls this of a	<b>Vac</b> (with minor discrononcies		Le this of a patiefactory	Yes
	satisfactory standard?	<b>Yes</b> (with minor discrepancies as noted in Box 30)		Is this of a satisfactory standard?	Tes
c)	An Explanatory Memorandum explaining the purpose and effect of provisions in the draft DCO	Explanatory Memorandum (Doc 3.2)	d)	Where applicable, a Book of Reference	Book of Reference (Doc 4.2)
	Is this of a satisfactory standard?	Yes		Is this of a satisfactory standard?	<b>Yes</b> (with minor discrepancies as noted in Box 30)
e)	A copy of any Flood	ES Appendices (Doc 6.2):	f)	A statement whether the	Statement of Statutory Nuisance (Doc 6.6)
	Assessment Part 1 to Pa 10;	ES Appendix 14.6 Flood Risk Assessment Part 1 to Part		proposal engages one or more of the matters set out in section 79(1) of the Environmental Protection Act 1990 (statutory nuisances) and if so how the Applicant proposes to	The Applicant acknowledges the potential to engage the following statutory nuisances:
		ES Appendix 14.6 Flood Risk			<ul> <li>Section 79(1)(d) – relating to dust, steam, smell or other effluvia</li> </ul>
		Assessment Part 9;			<ul> <li>Section 79(1)(e) – relating to</li> </ul>
		ES Appendix 14.6 Flood Risk Assessment Part 9 Annex A			accumulations or deposits of material
		to Annex H;		mitigate or limit them	<ul> <li>Section 79(1)(fb) – relating to artificial light</li> </ul>
					<ul> <li>Section 79(1)(g) and (ga) – relating to noise and vibration</li> </ul>
					Mitigation includes good practice measures set out in the Code of Construction Practice and monitoring and mitigation as set out in

	Is this of a satisfactory standard?	Yes	-	Is this of a satisfactory standard?	the Register of Actions and Commitments (REAC) (Doc 7.11) Yes
h)	A Statement of Reasons and a Funding Statement (where the application involves any Compulsory Acquisition)	Statement of Reasons (Doc 4.1) Funding Statement (Doc 4.3)	i)	<ul> <li>A Land Plan identifying:-</li> <li>(i) the land required for, or affected by, the Proposed Development;</li> <li>(ii) where applicable, any land over which it is proposed to exercise powers of Compulsory Acquisition or any rights to use land;</li> <li>(iii) any land in relation to which it is proposed to extinguish easements, servitudes and other private rights; and</li> <li>(iv) any special category land and replacement land</li> </ul>	Land Plans (Doc 2.2) Special Category Land Plans (Doc 2.4)
	Is this of a	Yes		Is this of a satisfactory	Yes (with minor discrepancies as noted in Box

	satisfactory standard?			standard?	30)
j)	A Works Plan showing, in relation to existing features:- (i) the proposed location or (for a linear scheme) the proposed route and alignment of the development and works; and (ii) the limits within	Works Plans (Doc 2.6), Composite and Utility Temporary Works Plans (Doc 2.17)		Where applicable, a plan identifying any new or altered means of access, stopping up of streets or roads or any diversions, extinguishments or creation of rights of way or public rights of navigation	Rights of Way and Access Plans (Doc 2.7) Streets Subject to Temporary Restrictions of Use Plans (Doc 2.8) Traffic Regulation Measures Plans (Doc 2.10)
	which the development and works may be carried out and any limits of deviation provided for in the draft DCO				
	Is this of a satisfactory standard?	<b>Yes</b> (with minor discrepancies as noted in Box 30)	-	Is this of a satisfactory standard?	<b>Yes</b> (with minor discrepancies as noted in Box 30)
I)	Where applicable, a plan with accompanying information	(i) <u>statutory or non-statutory</u> <u>sites and (ii) habitats of</u> <u>protected species, important</u> <u>habitats</u>	m)	Where applicable, a plan with accompanying information identifying any statutory or non- statutory sites or	Environmental Statement Chapter 6 – Cultural Heritage (Doc 6.1) Environmental Statement Figure 6.1 - Archaeological Baseline and Assets

identifying:- (i) any statutory or	Environmental Statement (Doc (6.1) contains this		features of the historic environment, (eg	Assessed as Likely to Experience an Effect (Doc 6.2)	
non-statutory sites or features of nature conservation eg	information on the sc information on the sc Environmental Constraints With Plan (Doc 2.3). This plan list ation eq	scheduled monuments, World Heritage sites, listed buildings, archaeological sites and registered battlefields)	Environmental Statement Figure 6.2 - Built Heritage Baseline and Assets Assessed as Likely to Experience an Effect (Doc 6.2)		
sites of geological or landscape	landscape and geological importance. This plan is		together with an assessment of any	Environmental Statement Figure 6.3 – Historic Landscape (Doc 6.2)	
importance; (ii) habitats of	supported from the following: ES Figure 6.3 – Historic landscape		effects on such sites, features or structures likely to be caused by the Proposed Development	features or structures likely to be served by Geophysical and Aerial Mapp	Environmental Statement Figure 6.4 – Geophysical and Aerial Mapping Survey Results (Doc 6.2)
protected species, important	ES Figure 7.4 – Landscape Designations			Environmental Statement Figure 6.8 – Archaeology and Geology (Document ref	
habitats or other diversity features; and	ES Figure 8.1 – Designated Sites			6.2) Environmental Statement Figure 6.9 – Peleeslithis Archaeology (Decument ref	
(iii) water bodies in a river basin	ES Figure 8.2- Phase 1 Habitat Map Pages 1 – 16.			Palaeolithic Archaeology (Document ref 6.2)	
management plan,	ES Figure 9.1 – Nationally and internationally				
together with an assessment of any	designated sites within 11km of Order Limit				
effects on such sites, features,	ES Figure 10.8 – Local Geological Sites;				
habitats or bodies likely to be caused by the Proposed Development	The assessments of effects on such sites are provided in Environmental Statement (Doc 6.1) chapters:				
	ES Ch7: Landscape and visual; ES Ch8: Terrestrial				

	Biodiversity; ES Ch9: Marine Biodiversity and ES Ch10: Geology and Soils (ii) water bodies in a river basin management plan ES Appendix 14.6 Flood Risk assessment identifies the following River Basin Management Plans: Thames River Basin Management Plan The following figures contain information on water bodies ES Figure 14.1 – Surface Water Receptors and Resources ES Figure 14.2 – Groundwater Receptors and Resources ES Figure 14.5 – Water Framework Directive – Surface Water Bodies, Transitional Waterbodies and Current Status ES Figure 14.6 – Water Framework Directive – Groundwater Bodies and Current Status		
Is this of a	Yes	Is this of a satisfactory	Yes

	satisfactory standard?			standard?	
n)	Where applicable, a plan with any accompanying information identifying any Crown land	Crown Land Plans (Doc 2.3)	0)	Any other plans, drawings and sections necessary to describe the development consent proposal showing details of design, external appearance, and the preferred layout of buildings, structures, drainage, surface water management, means of vehicular and pedestrian access, any car parking and landscaping	Traffic Regulation Measures Plan (Doc 2.10) Classification of Roads Plans (Doc 2.11) Tunnel Area Plan (Doc 2.12) Drainage Plans (Doc 2.16)
	Is this of a satisfactory standard?	<b>Yes</b> (with minor discrepancies as noted in Box 30)		Are they of a satisfactory standard?	Yes
p)	Any of the	General Arrangement Plans	q)		Cover letter (Doc 1.1)
	documents prescribed by	(Doc 2.5)		considered necessary to support the application	Introduction to the Application (Doc 1.3)
	Regulation 6 of the	Engineering Drawings and Sections (Doc 2.9)			Navigation Document (Doc 1.4)
	APFP Regulations:	Structures Plans (Doc 2.13)			Consents and Agreements Position Statement (Doc 3.3)
		River Restrictions Plan (Doc 2.14)			Statement of Engagement (Doc 5.2)
		Tunnel Limits of Deviation Plans (Doc 2.15)			Statement of Commonality (Doc 5.3) Statements of Common Ground (Doc 5.4)

	Statements responding to Local Authorities stated positions on Adequacy of Consultation (Doc 5.5)
	Need for the Project (Doc 7.1)
	Planning Statement (Doc 7.2)
	Section 106 Agreements – Head of Terms (Doc 7.3)
	Project Design Report (Doc 7.4)
	Design Principles (Doc 7.5)
	Road User Charging Statement (Doc 7.6)
	Combined Modelling and Appraisal Report (Doc 7.7)
	Traffic Forecasts Non-Technical Summary (Doc 7.8)
	Transport Assessment (Doc 7.9)
	Health and Equalities Impact Assessment (Doc 7.10)
	Sustainability Statement (Doc 7.11)
	Wider Networks Impacts Management and Monitoring Plan (Doc 7.12)
	Framework Construction Travel Plan (Doc 7.13)
	Outline Traffic Management Plan for Construction (7.14)
	Preliminary Navigational Risk Assessment (Doc 7.15)

				Community Impact Report (Doc 7.16)	
				Interrelationship with other Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects and Major Development Schemes (Doc 7.17)	
				Workers Accommodation Report (Doc 7.18)	
				Carbon and Energy Management Plan (Doc 7.19)	
				Benefits and Outcomes Document (Doc 7.20)	
				Stakeholder Actions and Commitments Registers (Doc 7.21)	
	Are they of a satisfactory standard?	Yes	Are they of a satisfactory standard?	Yes	
30	Are there any observation	ations in respect of the documents pro	ovided at Box 29 (a) to (q) al	pove?	
	There are minor errors and omissions of a level considered to be proportionate according to the scale of the application.				
	Specific section 51 advice has been provided to the Applicant in relation to the following documents:				
	Rights of Way and A Book of Reference (	Doc 2.3) Ind Plans (Doc 2.4) Iosite and Utilities (Doc 2.6) Access Plans (Doc 2.7)	nts (Doc 6.2)		
	Section 51 advice has	s been issued to the Applicant and car	n be found here:		

	https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/document/TR010032-001813	
31	Is the application accompanied by a report identifying any European site(s) to which Regulation 48 of The Conservation (Natural Habitats, &c.) Regulations 1994 applies; or any Ramsar site(s), which may be affected by the Proposed Development, together with sufficient information that will enable the Secretary of State to make an appropriate assessment of the implications for the site if required by Regulation 48(1)? <sup>14</sup>	Yes A Habitats Regulations Report (HRA) (Doc 6.5) – Screening Report and Statement to Inform an Appropriate Assessment – has been provided. The HRA Report identifies relevant European sites and the likely effects on those sites. It is considered that the information provided in the report is adequate for acceptance. Note: The Examining Authority will be able to ask questions during the Examination. This may result in additional information being required to inform the HRA Report and the competent authority. Depending upon the type and availability of information required it may not be possible to obtain this during the statutory timetable of the Examination.
32	If requested by the Planning Inspectorate, two paper copies of the application form and other supporting documents and plans <sup>15</sup>	No hard copies requested.
33	Has the Applicant had regard to statutory guidance 'Planning Act 2008: Application form guidance', and has this regard led to the application being prepared to a standard that the Planning Inspectorate considers satisfactory?	Yes Paragraph 3.1 of the Covering Letter (Doc 1.1) explains that the Applicant has had regard to statutory guidance on the form of the application. The Planning Inspectorate is satisfied that the Applicant has demonstrated regard to the guidance principles.
34	Summary - s55(3)(f) and s55(5A)	The Planning Inspectorate concludes that the application (including accompaniments) has been prepared to a standard that it considers satisfactory.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Regulation 5(2)(g) of the APFP Regulations
 <sup>15</sup> Regulation 5(2)(r) of the APFP Regulations

		In respect of the discrepancies referred to in Box 30 of this checklist, to help facilitate an efficient and effective examination of the application s51 advice has been provided to the Applicant in conjunction with the decision to accept the application. That advice is published on the National Infrastructure Planning website, here: https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/document/TR010032-001813		
The Infrastructure Planning (Fees) Regulations 2010 (as amended)				
Fees to accompany an application				
35	Was the fee paid at the same time that the application was made <sup>16</sup> ?	The fee was received on 21 October 2022; before the application was made.		

Role	Electronic signature	Date
Case Manager	Eleanor Church	28 November 2022
Acceptance Inspector	Rynd Smith	28 November 2022

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> The Planning Inspectorate must charge the Applicant a fee in respect of the decision by the Planning Inspectorate under section 55 of the PA2008. If the Applicant fails to pay the fee, the Planning Inspectorate need not consider the application until payment is received. The fee must be paid at the same time that the application is made